

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

|                           | FILING DATE                        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.       | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--|
| APPLICATION NO.           |                                    | DANIEL P. BEDNARIK   | 1488.0450001              | 5107             |  |
| 08/917,710                | 08/26/1997                         | DANIELT. BESTALL     |                           |                  |  |
| 22173                     | 990 08/21/2003<br>NOME SCIENCES IN | CC.                  | EXAMINER WEGERT, SANDRA L |                  |  |
| 9410 KEY WE<br>ROCKVILLE, | ST AVENUE                          |                      |                           |                  |  |
| ROCK VILLE,               | IVID 20050                         |                      | ART UNIT                  | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                           |                                    |                      | 1647                      | $\frac{1}{2}$    |  |
|                           |                                    |                      | DATE MAILED: 08/21/200    |                  |  |
|                           |                                    |                      | `                         | •                |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | pplicant(s)  |              |
|--|--|--|--------------|
|  | 08/917,710   | BEDNARIK ET AL.  | _            |
| Advisory Action  |  | Art Unit   |              |
| Aution y   | Examiner  Condra Wegert  | 1647   |              |
|  | Sandra Wegert  |  | $\neg$       |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | pears on the cover shee  | DITION FOR ALLOWANCE   |              |
| THE REPLY FILED 6/23/03 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | APPLICATION IN CON avoid abandonment of (1) a timely filed amer peal (with appeal fee); of (1) and (1) are timely filed amer peal (with appeal fee); of (1) and (1) are timely filed amer peal (with appeal fee); of (1) are timely filed amer peal fee); of (1) are timely filed and (1) are timely fil | this application. A proper reply to a dment which places the application in or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued  |              |
| PERIOD FOR I   | REPLI (CHOOK OWNS)   |  |              |
| a) The period for reply expires 7 months from the mailing date of this 1 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this 1 event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W. 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exposes of the state of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | or than SIX MONTHS from the AS FILED WITHIN TWO MC educe on which the petition un tension and the corresponding ened statutory period for reply the months after the mailing date.   | der 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee unde priginally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth it of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any | er<br>n<br>/ |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37).   | <b>O</b> 111   | d dismissal of the appeal.   |              |
| I not be entere  | ed because.  | ·  |              |
| (a) M they raise new issues that would require for   | urther consideration and   | d/or search (see NOTE bolow),  |              |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No.  | ote below);  | and by materially reducing or simplifying  | the          |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the applicat  | ion in better lottil for al  | opear by materially reducing of ome, who   |              |
| issues for appeal; and/or  (d)  they present additional claims without ca  | inceling a correspondin  | g number of finally rejected claims.   |              |
| NOTE   |  |  |              |
| 1  | rejection(s):  | in a congrete, timely filed amendme  | ent          |
| 4 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) w   | ould be allowable it so  | omitted in a separate, timely filed amondment  | 16           |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) reque   | est for reconsideration tee: See Continuation Shee   | nas been considered but does NOT place the   | iC           |
| application in condition for allowance seesaw  | ed because it is not dire  | cted SOLELY to issues which were newly   |              |
| raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amend explanation of how the new or amended claim  | الفيد الآمد الكادم الفيد الكادم  | e entered or b) will be entered and an   |              |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as for  | llows:   |  |              |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |  |  |              |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |  |  |              |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>20-29,38,39,49-5860-73</u> .   | •  |  |              |
|  |  | or b) disapproved by the Examiner.   |              |
|  | is a) i approved   | Paper No(s)  |              |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure St   | atement(s)( PTO-1449   | rape No(s)   |              |
| 10. Other:   |  |  |              |
|  |  |  |              |
|  |  |  |              |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 101/112-utility issues remain. High homology of the receptor to interleukin receptors cannot be taken as enabling without evidence or data supporting a specific function.

GARY KUNZ

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600